## EXHIBIT 1

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT			
NORTHER	N DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
BEFORE THE HONORABLE PHY	YLLIS J. HAMILTON, JUDGE		
ORACLE CORPORATION, ET A	AL. ) JURY TRIAL		
PLAINTIFFS,	) NO. C 07-01658 PJH		
VS.	) VOLUME 6		
SAP AG, ET AL.,	) ) PAGES 947 - 1187		
DEFENDANTS.	OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 9, 2010		
CERTIFIED COPY  TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  APPEARANCES:			
	BINGHAM MUCCUTCHEN LLP THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111-4607 ZACHARY J. ALINDER, HOLLY A. HOUSE, GEOFFREY M. HOWARD, DONN P. PICKETT, ATTORNEYS AT LAW  BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 1999 HARRISON STREET, SUITE 900 OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612 DAVID BOIES, STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN, ATTORNEYS AT LAW		
(APPEARA	NCES CONTINUED NEXT PAGE)		
	AYNEE H. MERCADO, CSR NO. 8258 IANE E. SKILLMAN, CSR NO. 4909		

```
OVER VERY BRIEFLY ARE BASED ON THE NUMBER OF ACTUAL CUSTOMERS
 1
 2
      THAT -- IN THE FIRST CATEGORY, THE ACTUAL CUSTOMERS WHO WENT
 3
      FROM ORACLE SUPPORT TO TOMORROWNOW SUPPORT AS A RESULT OF
 4
      TOMORROWNOW, CORRECT?
 5
      Α.
          THAT'S CORRECT.
 6
          AND THE SECOND CATEGORY ARE THE COMPANIES THAT WENT FROM
 7
      ORACLE TO SAP TO BUY SOFTWARE AS A RESULT OF TOMORROWNOW,
 8
      CORRECT?
 9
          IT WOULD BE THE -- WHATEVER IS REPORTED BY SAP. BUT IT'S
10
      ADDITIONAL REVENUES AND PROFITS THAT WERE GAINED BY WORKING IN
11
      CONJUNCTION WITH THE TOMORROWNOW OFFERING. SO IT'S THAT
12
      COMBINED OFFERING.
13
      Q. OKAY.
14
                 AND TO COME UP WITH THOSE FIGURES, YOU LOOKED AT
15
     SOME OF THE CUSTOMERS AND YOU DECIDED, AS BEST YOU COULD BASED
     ON THE AVAILABLE EVIDENCE, WHY A CUSTOMER LEFT ORACLE FOR
16
17
     TOMORROWNOW AND WHY A CUSTOMER LEFT ORACLE FOR SAP, RIGHT?
18
     A.
          IN A GENERAL SENSE, THAT'S CORRECT.
19
      Q. OKAY.
20
                 LET ME ADD TO THAT CHART THE NUMBER OF CUSTOMERS)
21
      THAT, BASED ON YOUR WORK, ARE IN THE FIRST CATEGORY. THE ONES
22
      THAT LEFT ORACLE FOR SUPPORT BY TOMORROWNOW AS A RESULT OF
23
     TOMORROWNOW.
24
                WHAT NUMBER DID YOU COME UP WITH, NUMBER OF
25
      CUSTOMERS?
```

1	A. (THAT HAVE LEFT.) SO IF WE ARE JUST TALKING NOW ABOUT THE	
2	(REMAINING PLAINTIFF, IN MY LOST PROFIT NUMBER OF 36, I HAVE 253)	
3	CUSTOMERS.	
4	Q. 253 CUSTOMERS.	
5	AND MR. CLARKE HAS A SOMEWHAT LOWER NUMBER?	
6	A. I BELIEVE IT'S AROUND 170. SOME OF THE CUSTOMERS HAVE	
7	THERE'S COMBINATIONS, WHATNOT, BUT SAY 170.	
8	Q. THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN YOU AND MR. CLARKE IS OVER, WHAT IS	
9	THAT, 70, 80 CUSTOMERS, AND THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN THE TWO OF	
10	YOU ON THOSE CUSTOMERS IS THEIR MOTIVATION FOR LEAVING ORACLE;	
11	WAS IT BECAUSE OF TOMORROWNOW OR WERE THEY GOING TO LEAVE	
L2	ORACLE ANYWAY, RIGHT?	
L3	A. IF I FOLLOW THAT, MR. CLARKE EXCLUDES ANOTHER WHATEVER, 80	
14	CUSTOMERS, BELIEVING THAT THEY WOULD HAVE LEFT ORACLE FOR A	
15	VARIETY OF REASONS THAT HE'S COME UP WITH THAT I DO NOT ACCEPT.	
L 6	I HAVE LOOKED AT HIS EXCLUSIONS, I DON'T THINK THEY	
17	ARE SUPPORTED, BUT HE WOULD SAY THAT HE HAS RATIONALE FOR WHY	
L8	THEY LEFT.	
L9	Q. LET'S TAKE ONE EXAMPLE. THERE'S A COMPANY THAT LEFT	
20	ORACLE AND WENT TO ANOTHER THIRD PARTY PROVIDER CALLED	
21	VERITECH.	
22	ARE YOU FAMILIAR WITH THAT THIRD PARTY PROVIDER?	
23	A. MAYBE NOT SPECIFICALLY, BUT YOU CAN GO.	
24	Q. OKAY. AND THAT CUSTOMER WAS GONE FOR TWO YEARS FROM	

ORACLE TO VERITECH. AND THEN AFTER TWO YEARS STOPPED VERITECH

25

1 2 3 CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER 4 WE, RAYNEE H. MERCADO AND DIANE E. SKILLMAN, OFFICIAL 5 REPORTERS FOR THE UNITED STATES COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF 6 CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING PROCEEDINGS IN 7 CO7-01658PJH, ORACLE USA, INC., ET AL. V. SAP AG, ET AL., WERE REPORTED BY US ON, TUESDAY, NOVEMBER 9, 2010, CERTIFIED 8 9 SHORTHAND REPORTERS, AND WERE THEREAFTER TRANSCRIBED UNDER OUR DIRECTION INTO TYPEWRITING; THAT THE FOREGOING IS A FULL, 10 11 COMPLETE AND TRUE RECORD OF SAID PROCEEDINGS AS BOUND BY US AT 12 THE TIME OF FILING. THE VALIDITY OF THE REPORTER'S CERTIFICATION OF SAID 13 14 TRANSCRIPT MAY BE VOID UPON DISASSEMBLY AND/OR REMOVAL FROM THE 15 COURT FILE. 16 Rayne H. Mercedo 17 RAYNEE H. MERCADO, CSR, RMR, CRR, FCRR, CCRR 18 19 20 21 DIANE E. SKILLMAN, CSR, RPR, FCRR 22 23 WEDNESDAY, NOVEMBER 10, 2010 24

25

UNITE	D STATES DISTRICT COURT		
NORTHER	N DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
BEFORE THE HONORABLE PHY	YLLIS J. HAMILTON, JUDGE		
ORACLE CORPORATION, ET A	AL. ) JURY TRIAL		
PLAINTIFFS,	) NO. C 07-01658 PJH		
VS.	) VOLUME 7		
SAP AG, ET AL.,	) PAGES 1188 - 1420		
DEFENDANTS.	OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 12, 2010		
CERTIFIED COPY  TRANSCRIPT OF PROCEEDINGS  APPEARANCES:			
BY:	BINGHAM MUCCUTCHEN LLP THREE EMBARCADERO CENTER SAN FRANCISCO, CALIFORNIA 94111-4607 ZACHARY J. ALINDER, HOLLY A. HOUSE, GEOFFREY M. HOWARD, DONN P. PICKETT, ATTORNEYS AT LAW  BOIES, SCHILLER & FLEXNER LLP 1999 HARRISON STREET, SUITE 900 OAKLAND, CALIFORNIA 94612 DAVID BOIES, STEVEN C. HOLTZMAN, ATTORNEYS AT LAW		
	AYNEE H. MERCADO, CSR NO. 8258 IANE E. SKILLMAN, CSR NO. 4909		

```
1
      THE LOST SUPPORT PROFITS. AND THESE ARE THE PEOPLESOFT
 2
      CUSTOMERS WHO WERE AT ORACLE, AND THEN THEY WENT OVER TO
      TOMORROWNOW FOR SUPPORT FOR THEIR ORACLE OR PEOPLESOFT SOFTWARE,
 3
 4
      CORRECT?
 5
      A. YES.
         AND WHAT YOU WERE TRYING TO DO -- YOU KNOW THE TOTAL NUMBER
 6
      Q.
 7
      OF TOMORROWNOW CUSTOMERS FROM PEOPLESOFT WAS 358, CORRECT?
      Α.
 8
         YES.
      O. AND YOU WENT FROM 358 TO 253 BECAUSE YOU CONCLUDED THAT
 9
      THERE WERE A LOT OF CUSTOMERS, THE DIFFERENCE BETWEEN 358 AND
10
11
      253, WHO WENT TO TOMORROWNOW BUT WERE GOING TO LEAVE ORACLE
12
      ANYWAY, CORRECT?
13
      A. NO, THAT'S ACTUALLY NOT CORRECT. BASICALLY THE ONES THAT
      I'VE DROPPED OUT ARE BECAUSE THEY EITHER NEVER LEFT ORACLE: THEY
14
15
      SORT OF HAD SERVICE FROM BOTH COMPANIES. OR THEY REINSTATED
16
      WITH ORACLE -- THERE WERE 26 OF THEM -- SO THEY BASICALLY HAD TO
17
      PAY BACK LICENSE. AND THEN I THINK ANOTHER, LIKE, 20 WHERE
18
      THEY -- YOU KNOW, IN THE PLAINTIFF GROUP.
19
                 SO A LOT OF THEM ARE JUST SORT OF, YOU KNOW,
20
      NECESSARY ADJUSTMENTS TO GET APPLES AND APPLES. (IF THEY DON'T)
21
      LEAVE ORACLE, THEY SHOULDN'T BE IN THE LOST PROFITS OBVIOUSLY.
22
      AND IF THEY'RE A COMPANY THAT'S A DIFFERENT PLAINTIFF THAT'S NOT
23
      IN THE CASE RIGHT NOW, THEY SHOULDN'T BE IN THE NUMBER. SO
24
      THEY'RE MORE STRUCTURAL ADJUSTMENTS. THERE WERE A FEW SMALL
25
      ONES WHERE I PULLED THEM OUT FOR OTHER REASONS.
```

- 1 Q. WELL, AND ONE OF THE REASONS -- YOU RECALL THIS SMALL ONE,
- 2 BUT ONE OF THE REASONS WAS THAT YOU CONCLUDED THAT THE CUSTOMER
- 3 WOULD HAVE LEFT ORACLE ANYWAY, CORRECT? THEY WENT TO
- 4 TOMORROWNOW, BUT THEY WERE GOING TO LEAVE ORACLE ANYWAY?
- 5 A. THERE MAY BE A FEW OF THOSE. WE'D HAVE TO TALK SPECIFICS.
- I DON'T WANT TO TALK GENERALITIES ABOUT THIS. 6
- 7 Q. BUT, LOOK, YOU'VE SPENT A LOT OF TIME ON THIS ANALYSIS,
- 8 CORRECT?
- 9 I SPENT SOME TIME ON IT; THAT'S CORRECT.
- Q. OKAY. AND ARE YOU SAYING THAT YOU CAN'T REMEMBER REMOVING 10
- 11 ANY -- A SINGLE CUSTOMER ON THE GROUND THAT THEY WOULD HAVE LEFT
- 12 ORACLE ANYWAY?
- 13 A. I'M NOT SAYING THAT.
- 14 OKAY. YOU, IN FACT, REMEMBER SOME CUSTOMERS WHO YOU REMOVED
- 15 FOR THAT REASON, CORRECT?
- 16 THERE ARE -- AS I MENTIONED, THERE ARE A FEW. Α.
- Q. OKAY. 17
- AND YOUR IDEA -- YOUR NOTION WAS THAT IF -- THE 18
- 19 CUSTOMER WAS GOING TO LEAVE ORACLE ANYWAY, YOU CAN'T BLAME SAP
- 20 OR MAKE THEM REPAY ORACLE'S LOST PROFITS FOR THAT CUSTOMER.
- 21 A. ON THE LOST PROFITS, THAT REALLY WASN'T PART OF THE
- 22 METHODOLOGY. ON LOST PROFITS, BECAUSE TOMORROWNOW HAD PROVIDED
- 23 SERVICE AND IT PROVIDED A SERVICE THAT IT SHOULD NOT HAVE BEEN
- 24 PROVIDING BUT FOR TAKING SOFTWARE, IT WAS REALLY DIFFERENT.) (IT)
- 25 WAS MOSTLY FOCUSING ON THE SPECIFICS OF WHO LEFT ORACLE, THE

TIMING, WHO WENT BACK.

THERE WERE A COUPLE OF THE CUSTOMERS THAT YOU AND I

(3) CAN TALK ABOUT WHERE THAT HAPPENED. (I'LL AGREE ON THE

INFRINGERS' PROFITS --

Q. SIR --

5

6

- A. -- I MADE MORE OF THOSE ADJUSTMENTS.
- 7 **Q.** SIR, MY QUESTION IS, DO YOU -- DO YOU REMEMBER SOME
- 8 CUSTOMERS WHO YOU REMOVED FOR THE REASON I GAVE; NAMELY, THAT
- 9 THEY WERE GOING TO LEAVE ORACLE ANYWAY?
- 10 YOU REMEMBER THERE WERE SOME CUSTOMERS LIKE THAT,
- 11 CORRECT?
- 12 **A.** MOSTLY ON THE INFRINGER'S PROFIT SIDE, BUT I WOULD SAY A FEW
- 13 ON THIS SIDE.
- 14 **IO.** OKAY. SO LET'S JUST ILLUSTRATE THE APPROACH YOU TOOK

- 17 OF WASHINGTON, CORRECT?
- 18 A. THAT'S CORRECT.
- 19 Q. OKAY. AND YOU AGREE THAT EXPERTS LIKE YOU RELY ON SWORN AND
- 20 UNSWORN DECLARATIONS IN CONDUCTING LOST-PROFITS ANALYSIS, RIGHT?
- 21 A. FROM TIME TO TIME, THAT'S CORRECT.
- 22 Q. AND YOU DID IT IN THIS CASE, CORRECT?
- 23 **||A.** AT ONE POINT -- ARE YOU -- COWLITZ IS NOT IN MY NUMBERS NOW.
- 24 II THINK YOU KNOW THAT. IT'S NOT IN THE 253.
- 25 **Q.** SIR, COULD YOU JUST ANSWER MY QUESTION IN THE INTEREST OF

## CERTIFICATE OF REPORTER

WE, RAYNEE H. MERCADO AND DIANE E. SKILLMAN, OFFICIAL REPORTERS FOR THE UNITED STATES COURT, NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA, HEREBY CERTIFY THAT THE FOREGOING PROCEEDINGS IN CO7-01658PJH, ORACLE USA, INC., ET AL. V. SAP AG, ET AL., WERE REPORTED BY US ON, FRIDAY, NOVEMBER 12, 2010, CERTIFIED SHORTHAND REPORTERS, AND WERE THEREAFTER TRANSCRIBED UNDER OUR DIRECTION INTO TYPEWRITING; THAT THE FOREGOING IS A FULL, COMPLETE AND TRUE RECORD OF SAID PROCEEDINGS AS BOUND BY US AT THE TIME OF FILING.

THE VALIDITY OF THE REPORTERS' CERTIFICATION OF SAID TRANSCRIPT MAY BE VOID UPON DISASSEMBLY AND/OR REMOVAL FROM THE COURT FILE.

Rayne H. Merce do

RAYNEE H. MERCADO, CSR, RMR, CRR, FCRR, CCRR

24

25

DIANE E. SKILLMAN, CSR, RPR, FCRR

SATURDAY, NOVEMBER 13, 2010